

1 Terrence P. McMahon (State Bar No. 71910)
tcmahon@mwe.com
2 Anthony de Alcuaz (State Bar No. 65599)
adealcuaz@mwe.com
3 Robert J. Blanch (State Bar No. 189632)
rblanch@mwe.com
4 Arturo E. Sandoval (State Bar No.
McDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP
5 3150 Porter Drive
Palo Alto, CA 94304-1212
6 Telephone: 650.813.5000
Facsimile: 650.813.5100

7 Attorneys for Plaintiffs
8 ARISTOCRAT TECHNOLOGIES
AUSTRALIA PTY LIMITED and
9 ARISTOCRAT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

10 Jeffrey S. Love (State Bar No. 195068)
jeffrey.love@klarquist.com
11 Samir N. Pandya (Admitted Pro Hac Vice)
samir.pandya@klarquist.com
12 Garth A. Winn (Admitted Pro Hac Vice)
garth.winn@klarquist.com
13 KLARQUIST SPARKMAN, LLP
121 S.W. Salmon Street, Suite 1600
14 Portland, OR 97204-2988
Telephone: 503.595.5300
15 Facsimile: 503.595.5301

16 Eric L. Wesenberg (State Bar No. 139696)
ewesenberg@orrick.com
17 Orrick Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP
1000 Marsh Road
18 Menlo Park, CA 94025-1015
Telephone: (650) 614-7400
19 Facsimile: (650) 614-7401

20 Attorneys for Defendant
INTERNATIONAL GAME TECHNOLOGY
21 and Defendant/Counterclaim-Plaintiff IGT

22 Complete list on signature page (L.R. 3-4(a))

23 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
24 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
25 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**
26
27
28

ARISTOCRAT TECHNOLOGIES
AUSTRALIA PTY LIMITED AND
ARISTOCRAT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

INTERNATIONAL GAME
TECHNOLOGY,

Defendant,
and IGT,

Defendant and
Counterclaimant-Plaintiff.

CASE NO. C-06-03717-MJJ

**AMENDED JOINT [~~PROPOSED~~] PRE-
TRIAL SCHEDULING ORDER**

Department: Room 11, 19th floor, SF
Judge: Hon. Martin J. Jenkins

Pursuant to the Court's October 10, 2006 Order at the Initial Case Management Conference, the parties in the above-entitled action jointly submit this Amended Joint [Proposed] Pre-Trial Scheduling Order for the Court's consideration.

CASE SCHEDULE

At the October 10, 2006 conference, the Court ordered the following case schedule:

October 24, 2006	LD for Aristocrat to serve disclosure of asserted claims and preliminary infringement contentions and docs (Patent L.R. 3-1 and L.R. 3-2)
December 8, 2006	LD for IGT to serve preliminary invalidity contentions (Patent L.R. 3-3 and L.R. 3-4)
December 22, 2006	LD for parties to exchange list of claim terms to be construed (Patent L.R. 4-1)
January 11, 2007	LD for parties to exchange preliminary claim construction and extrinsic evidence (Patent L.R. 4-2)
February 6, 2007	LD for parties to file a joint claim construction statement and pre-hearing statement (Patent L.R. 4-3)
March 8, 2007	LD for parties to complete discovery relating to claim construction (Patent L.R. 4-4)
March 23, 2007	LD for Aristocrat to file opening claim construction brief (Patent L.R. 4-5)

April 6, 2007	LD for IGT to file responsive claim construction brief (Patent L.R. 4-5)
April 17, 2007	LD for Aristocrat to file reply brief to IGT's responsive claim construction brief (Patent L.R. 4-5(c))
May 18, 2007 at 2:00 p.m.	Tutorial
May 30, 2007 at 2:00 p.m.	Markman hearing (Patent L.R. 4-6)
June 6, 2007 at 2:00 p.m.	Further Status Conference, to schedule matters up to pretrial conference, including mediation with Magistrate Judge
January 29, 2008 at 3:30 p.m.	Pre-trial Conference
February 11, 2008 at 8:30 a.m.	Trial

A. Discovery Limits

At the October 10, 2006 conference, the Court ordered the following limitations on case discovery:

- Interrogatories: 25 per side.
- Depositions: 10 per side (3- 30(b)(6) depositions having multiple topics per notice).
- Document Requests: 40 per side.
- Requests for Admissions: 40 per side (excluding authentication RFA's).

Under these limitations, a single discovery request served on both Plaintiffs, or both Defendants, counts as just one request, even if two different responses are served.

/////

/////

Dated: March 15, 2007

McDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP

By: /s/ Arturo E. Sandoval

Terrence P. McMahon
Anthony de Alcuaz
Robert J. Blanch
Arturo E. Sandoval
Attorneys for Plaintiff
ARISTOCRAT TECHNOLOGIES
AUSTRALIA PTY LIMITED AND
ARISTOCRAT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

KLARQUIST SPARKMAN, LLP

By: /s/ Jeffrey S. Love

Jeffrey S. Love
Samir N. Pandya
Garth A. Winn
Attorneys for Defendant
INTERNATIONAL GAME
TECHNOLOGY and
Defendant/Counterclaimant-Plaintiff
IGT

ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE
LLP

By: /s/ Eric L. Wesenberg

Eric L. Wesenberg
Attorney for Defendant
INTERNATIONAL GAME
TECHNOLOGY and
Defendant/Counterclaimant-Plaintiff
IGT

CERTIFICATION BY ARTURO E. SANDOVAL PURSUANT TO GENERAL RULE NO. 45,
SECTION X. RE E-FILING ON BEHALF OF MULTIPLE SIGNATORIES

1. I am an attorney licensed to practice law in the state of California, and am a partner in the law firm of McDermott Will & Emery LLP, counsel for plaintiffs Aristocrat Technologies Australia Pty Limited and Aristocrat Technologies, Inc. in this matter. The statements herein are made on my personal knowledge, and if called as a witness I could and would testify thereto.

2. The above e-filed document contains multiple signatures. I declare that concurrence has been obtained from each of the other signatories to file this jointly prepared document with the Court. Pursuant to General Rule No. 45, I shall maintain records to support this concurrence for subsequent production for the Court if so ordered, or for inspection upon request by a party until one year after final resolution of the action (including appeal, if any).

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the state of California that the foregoing is true and correct on this 15th day of March, 2007.

/s/ Arturo E. Sandoval
Arturo E. Sandoval

AMENDED JOINT [PROPOSED] PRE-TRIAL SCHEDULING ORDER

The Amended Joint [Proposed] Pre-Trial Scheduling Order is hereby adopted by the Court as the Pre-Trial Scheduling Order for the case and the parties are ordered to comply with this Order.

Dated: March 19, 2007



THE HONORABLE MARTIN J. JENKINS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

MPK 116610-3.074272.0016